



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

7					
' APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,257	02/13/20	006	Jung-Keun Kim	1222003USA	9671
JHK Law	7590	10/29/2007		EXAM	INER
P.O. Box 1078		r.		ISSAC, ROY P	
La Canada, CA 91012-1078			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		•	1623	
				ā	•
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•			10/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/568,257	KIM ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
	1623

	Roy P. Issac	1623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af- tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	2001100
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>see attachment</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.17	` ''	ampliant Amondment	DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-11</u> .			•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>6 and 12</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>		. *	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attachment.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:	`,		

Application/Control Number: 10/568,257

Art Unit: 1623

## **Advisory Action**

This Office Action is in response to Applicant's proposed amendment and response <u>after Final</u> filed on 16 October, 2007. The amendements have been considered and will not be entered as discussed below.

- 3. In view of the deletion of the open transitional phrase "comprising" and insertion of the closed transitional phrase "consisting of" in its place, the claims herein needs to be further considered under section 102 and 103(a), requiring further consideration and search.
- Applicants arguments were fully considered but found unpersuasive.

  Applicants argue that in view of applicants use of the closed transitional phrase in the newly submitted amendments cited references would not anticipate the claims herein.

  However, in view of the changed scope of claims they need to be considered further for compliance under section 102 and 103, which would require further search and consideration. Applicants further argue that references herein do not disclose or suggest anything relevant in regards to the treatment of arthritis with butanol fraction of Notoginseng Radix. However, the claims herein are directed to a pharmaceutical composition. Note that it is well settled that "intended use" of a composition or product, e.g., "for treating arthritis", will not further limit claims drawn to a composition or product, so long as the prior art discloses the same composition comprising the same

Art Unit: 1623

ingredients in an effective amount, as the instantly claimed. See, e.g., Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987) and In re Hack 114, USPQ 161.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy P. Issac whose telephone number is 571-272-2674. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Anna Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Roy P. Issac Patent Examiner Art Unit 1623

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1623